


[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 18 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. NO
2. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 48 Response


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




Suggestions

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 40 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. GOOD
2. All ok
3. good
4. BEST

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 446 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. OK
2. All giid
3. Ok
4. Very good
5. Good
6. Khub valo
7. ?????????? ?? ?? ????? ????
8. Good
9. UUUUUU
10. GOOD
11. OK
12. OK
13. GOOD
14. GOOD
15. goog
16. Good
17. Goodn
18. BETTER
19. Good
20. NA
21. BEST
22. BETTER THAN
23. No
24. Teaching method is very good
25. OK
26. Good
27. Very good
28. Good
29. GOOD
30. OK
31. DFGESDFGES

32. Good

33. GOOD

34. very good

35. Good

36. Good

37. OK

38. No

39. No

40. No

41. No

42. none

43. Nome

44. GOOD

45. None

46. NO

47. Good


Total446 Response Found

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 57 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

1. good
2. NO
3. Nothing

Total23 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 3

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 23 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




Suggestions

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 48 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. Very good
- 2. Vary good
- 3. good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 27 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. EXCELLENT
- 2. EXCELLENT
- 3. GOOD
- 4. OK

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 61 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. Good teaching
- 2. Good
- 3. No idea
- 4. good
- 5. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 22 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. I don't know
- 2. Nothing
- 3. Good

Total21 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 6

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 21 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



1. GOOD
2. GOOD
3. GOOD


Suggestions

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 249 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. Sir amader khub valo vabe poriya thake . Thank you sir.
- 2. GOOD
- 3. ok
- 4. No
- 5. Good
- 6. GOOD
- 7. good
- 8. NO
- 9. OK
- 10. Watter Shotage
- 11. NA
- 12. OK
- 13. GOOD
- 14. GOOD
- 15. GOOD
- 16. Good
- 17. Good
- 18. Not bad
- 19. OK
- 20. na

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 18 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. NO
- 2. Nice
- 3. ?????????

Total19 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 19 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total19 Response Found
31 Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5

Suggestions


- 1. No suggestion
- 2. Good institution
- 3. All is well
- 4. OK
- 5. OK

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 146 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Good
- 2. Thank you
- 3. IIIIII
- 4. Good
- 5. Good
- 6. NOTHING
- 7. Valo
- 8. Yes
- 9. GOOD
- 10. OK
- 11. GOOD
- 12. ha
- 13. Good
- 14. Thank you
- 15. Nothing
- 16. All is good

Total14 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 0

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 14 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. Very bad

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 16 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. OK
2. NR
3. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 39 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




Suggestions

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 240 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. LLLL
- 2. All good
- 3. OK
- 4. GOOD
- 5. Good
- 6. Good
- 7. BETTER
- 8. Sir is very good this college
- 9. OK
- 10. ok
- 11. GOOD
- 12. nice
- 13. GOOD
- 14. nice
- 15. Good
- 16. GOOD
- 17. GOOD
- 18. Very good
- 19. Very good
- 20. GOOD
- 21. OK
- 22. na
- 23. Hii

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 67 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. Very good
- 3. Very good
- 4. Good
- 5. OK
- 6. OK
- 7. OK
- 8. GOOD
- 9. Very good
- 10. Very good
- 11. Very good
- 12. GOOD
- 13. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 18 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating




1. I don't know
2. VERY GOOD
3. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 192 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. OK
2. YES
3. YES
4. Good
5. Good
6. Good
7. Very good
8. Yes
9. Yes
10. No
11. No
12. Yes
13. Good
14. Good
15. No
16. No
17. Best
18. Watter Shotage
19. OK
20. GOOD
21. GOOD
22. No
23. Verry good
24. GOODn
25. No

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 35 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. OK
2. ok
3. YES
4. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 28 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. Thanks
2. KKKKK
3. Good
4. Good
5. ok

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 18 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. Nothing
- 2. Nothing
- 3. Nothing

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 66 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. VERY GOOD
2. OK
3. Good
4. OUUUUUUU

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 21 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 31 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. YES
- 2. GOOD
- 3. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 107 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. No
- 2. pppppppp
- 3. GOOD
- 4. good
- 5. Class development
- 6. GOOD
- 7. GOOD
- 8. GOOD
- 9. GOOD
- 10. GOOD
- 11. Good
- 12. Good
- 13. good
- 14. good
- 15. GOOD
- 16. goodn

Total51 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 51

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 51 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. good
- 2. Excellent performance
- 3. excelent

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 23 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. ok
- 2. GOOD
- 3. OK

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 14 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Great sir .????
- 2. OK
- 3. ok
- 4. OK

Total24 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 2

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 24 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. OK
2. OK
3. Good Teaching Sir and Mam

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 86 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Improvement everything
- 2. Lab is not good
- 3. OK
- 4. OK
- 5. GOOD
- 6. VERY GOOD
- 7. Aeverything all good
- 8. All good
- 9. All good